

Week 8

Ex 5A05

Problem 1: Let V be a vector space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.
Suppose $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a collection of T -invariant subspaces of V . Prove that $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ is a T -invariant subspace of V .

Solⁿ: Recall that a subspace U of V is said to be T -invar. if $Tu \in U \ \forall u \in U$.

Pick $u \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$. Then $u \in U_i \ \forall i \in I$.

Since U_i is T -invar, $Tu \in U_i$. This is true for all $i \in I$.

Therefore $Tu \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ and hence $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ is T -invar.

Ex 5A022

Problem 2 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and \exists non zero $v, w \in V$ s.t.
 $Tv = 3w$ and $Tw = 3v$

Prove that 3 or -3 is an eigenvalue of T

Solⁿ Note that $T(v+w) = Tv + Tw = 3w + 3v = 3(v+w)$

Recall that $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue of T if \exists **NON-ZERO** vector $v_0 \in V$ s.t. $Tv_0 = \lambda v_0$. Hence 3 is an eigenvalue if

$v+w \neq 0$. However, if $v+w=0$ then $v-w = (v+w) - 2w = -2w \neq 0$

Since $w \neq 0$ and $2 \neq 0$. Therefore

$$T(v-w) = Tv - Tw = 3w - 3v = -3(v-w)$$

and -3 is an eigenvalue of T .

E*5BQ9

Problem 3 Give an example of $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ s.t. $T^4 = -I$.

Solⁿ Note that $x^4 + 1 = (x^2 - \sqrt{2}x + 1)(x^2 + \sqrt{2}x + 1)$.

It suffices to find $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $T^2 - \sqrt{2}T + I = T_0$ the zero transformation.

We may generalize the problem into:

Suppose $\beta = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis of a v.sp. V and

$p(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ is a polynomial over \mathbb{F} .

Then there exists a linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ s.t.

$$p(T) = T_0$$

Pf: Define $T: V \rightarrow V$ by $Te_i = e_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \dots, n-1$ and

$$Te_n = \sum_{i=1}^n -a_{i-1}e_i \quad (*)$$

We check that $p(T) = T^n + a_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \dots + a_0I_V = T_0$

Note $Te_i = e_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \dots, n-1$.

$$\text{Therefore } (*) \Rightarrow T(T^{n-1}e_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n -a_{i-1}T^{i-1}e_1$$

$$\Rightarrow T^n e_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i T^i e_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow p(T)e_1 = 0$$

Now $p(T)e_i = p(T)T^{i-1}e_1 = T^{i-1}p(T)e_1 = 0$

Therefore by uniqueness $p(T) = T_0$

We have $M(T, \beta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_0 \\ & \ddots & & -a_1 \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \left(\det(M(T, \beta) - \lambda I) = (-1)^n p(\lambda) \right)$

For the original problem, we may take the linear transformation

T defined by $T(1,0) = (0,1)$ and $T(0,1) = \sqrt{2}(0,1) - (1,0)$

Note that $M(T, \beta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} =: A$

Check directly that $A^4 + I = 0$

Friedberg
§5.4 Q23

Problem 4 Let T be a linear operator on a fin. dim. v. sp V and W is a T -invar. subspace of V . Suppose that $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ are eigenvectors of T corr. to distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$. Suppose further that $v_1 + \dots + v_n \in W$. Show that $v_i \in W \forall i$.

Solⁿ Use M.I.

The case $n=1$ is tautology ($v_1 \in W \Rightarrow v_1 \in W$).

Assume case $n=k$ is true for some +ve integer k .

For the case $n=k+1$, note that $T(v_1 + \dots + v_n) = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n \in W$

since W is T -invar. Also $\lambda_n (v_1 + \dots + v_n) \in W$

and $(T - \lambda_n I)(v_1 + \dots + v_n) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_n)v_1 + \dots + (\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n)v_{n-1} \in W$

Since $\lambda_i - \lambda_n \neq 0$ for $i=1, \dots, n-1$ $(\lambda_i - \lambda_n)v_i \neq \vec{0}$ and

$T((\lambda_i - \lambda_n)v_i) = (\lambda_i - \lambda_n)Tv_i = \lambda_i(\lambda_i - \lambda_n)v_i \therefore (\lambda_i - \lambda_n)v_i$ is an eigenvector of T

and distinct for $i=1, \dots, n-1$. By induction hypothesis

$(\lambda_i - \lambda_n)v_i \in W$ Since $\lambda_i - \lambda_n \neq 0 \therefore v_i \in W$ for $i=1, \dots, n-1$

$\therefore v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_{n-1} \in W \therefore v_n \in W$.

Friedberg
§5.4 Q24

Problem 5 If T is a diagonalizable linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector space and W is a nontrivial T -invariant subspace of V , prove that $T|_W$ is a diagonalizable linear operator on W .

Solⁿ Since T is diagonalizable, if $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all eigenvalues then $V = E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus E(\lambda_n, T)$ — (*)

We claim that $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (E(\lambda_i, T) \cap W)$ (Note $E(\lambda_i, T) \cap W = E(\lambda_i, T|_W)$)
+ $\sum_{i=1}^n E(\lambda_i, T|_W)$ is a direct sum

Since $\sum E(\lambda_i, T)$ is a direct sum

if $v_i \in E(\lambda_i, T) \cap W$ s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i = \vec{0}$ then $v_i = \vec{0} \forall i$

$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n E(\lambda_i, T|_W)$ is a direct sum.

+ $\sum_{i=1}^n E(\lambda_i, T|_W) = W$

"c" is clear. \supset Let $w \in W$. By (*) $\exists v_i \in E(\lambda_i, T)$

s.t. $w = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \in W$. If some $v_i = \vec{0}$ we may ignore

them since these v_i belong to W already. Hence we may assume

the remaining v_i are eigenvectors. By previous problem, $v_i \in W \forall i$

$\therefore v_i \in E(\lambda_i, T) \cap W \forall i$

Note that if λ is an eigenvalue of $T|_W \exists v \in W, v \neq \vec{0}$ s.t. $T|_W(v) = \lambda v$

This v is then also an eigenvector of T and λ is also an eigenvalue of V

Therefore $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n E(\lambda_i, T|_W)$ is a decomposition of

W into eigenspaces. (Some $E(\lambda_i, T|_W)$ may be the trivial subspace).